In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh.

C.R. No. 461 of 2007 (O&M)
Decided on January 30,2007.

Surinder Kumar --- Petitioner

vs.

Narinder Chand --- Respondent.

Present: Mr.Puneet Sharma,Advocate, for the petitioner

Pritam Pal,J: (Oral)

The petitioner, who is a tenant in the shop of the respondent/landlord, has brought this petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, for setting aside the order dated November 15,2006, whereby his (petitioner) evidence was closed by order of the Court. Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the interim orders passed on various dates by the trial Court. A perusal of the same goes a long way to show that on January 25,2006, three AWs of the petitioner were examined-in-chief and their cross examination was deferred on the request of learned counsel for the respondent/landlord, and those witnesses were bound down for the next date of hearing i.e. March 22,2006. But on that date, an application was moved by the respondent for impleading the legal representatives of the respondent. Further, perusal of the interim orders shows that talk of compromise was also there between

the parties.

In the given facts and circumstances , this Civil Revision is disposed of even without issuing a notice to the respondent, with the direction that the learned trial Court shall give one effective opportunity to the petitioner for adducing his entire evidence at his own responsibility.

January 30,2007 (Pritam Pal)
RR Judge